



SONY ERICSSON Ranking = 6.9/10

Sony Ericsson remains in 2nd place, with the same score of 6.9. It is the best performer on the toxic chemicals criteria of all the ranked brands, being the first to score full marks on all chemicals criteria. It also does well on energy.

All Sony Ericsson products are already free from PVC vinyl plastic and brominated flame retardants (BFRs), with the exception of a few components that are still being phased out. Sony Ericsson has already met the challenge of the new criterion on chemicals by banning antimony, beryllium and phthalates from new models launched since January 2008. Moreover, Sony Ericsson is proactively lobbying in the EU for the revision of the RoHS (Restriction of Hazardous Substances in electronics) Directive to adopt a 3 to 5 year timeline for further restrictions on organo-chlorine and bromine substances.

It is weakest on waste and recycling issues, scoring nothing on use of recycled plastic. It scores a point for reporting that, in 2008, around 5 percent of its mobile phones (based on sales volume) were collected and recycled through European recycling schemes; figures are also given for programmes in the US, Australia and Canada. Sony Ericsson scores points on its information to consumers about its take-back programme. For more points on e-waste, it needs to continue to increase its lobbying for Individual Producer Responsibility, extend its take-back and recycling programmes, and use recycled plastic across all its products – not just a few models.

On energy, Sony Ericsson scores points for committing to reduce absolute greenhouse gas emissions from its internal activities by 20 percent by 2015 (2008 baseline) and reports that 30 percent of its electricity use globally comes from renewable sources, although it needs to address concerns about the additionality of its renewable energy purchases by clarifying if this is in addition to RE sourced via the Swedish grid. Sony Ericsson has signed the Copenhagen Communiqué, which calls for global emissions to peak and begin to decline rapidly within the next decade; this scenario will require a reduction of 50 to 85 percent by 2050. It also states that developed countries need to take on immediate and deep emission reduction commitments that are much higher than the global average, but provides no concrete numbers. All of its products meet and exceed the Energy Star standard. It reports CO₂ emissions from its own manufacturing and product transportation, but fails to have these emissions verified by a third party.

SONY ERICSSON Overall Score

	BAD (0)	PARTIALLY BAD (1+)	PARTIALLY GOOD (2+)	GOOD (3+)
Precautionary Principle and support for revision of RoHS Directive.				
Chemicals Management				
Timeline for PVC & BFR phaseout				
Timeline for additional substances phaseout				
PVC-free and/or BFR-free models <small>(companies score double on this criterion)</small>				
Individual producer responsibility				
Voluntary take-back				
Information to individual customers				
Amounts recycled				
Use of recycled plastic content				
Global GHG emissions reduction support				
Carbon Footprint disclosure				
Own GHG emissions reduction commitment				
Amounts of renewable energy used				
Energy efficiency of new models <small>(companies score double on this criterion)</small>				

SONY ERICSSON Detailed Scoring

Chemicals

Precautionary Principle and support for revision of RoHS Directive.	Chemicals Management	Timeline for PVC & BFR phaseout	Timeline for additional substances phaseout	PVC-free and/or BFR-free models (double points)
GOOD (3+)	GOOD (3+)	GOOD (3+)	GOOD (3+)	GOOD (3+)
Sony Ericsson supports the Precautionary Principle as defined by the Rio Declaration and is implementing it. It also states that it supports the inclusion of BFRs and PVC in the revision of the RoHS Directive, together with a relevant exemption process as well as an improved methodology for further substance restrictions. More information and here. Evidence of Sony Ericsson's position and lobbying on RoHS 2.0. More information. SE stated at a Chemsec conference held at the EU Parliament, attended by Greenpeace, that it supports a 3-5 year timeline for further restrictions on organo-chlorine and bromine substances.	Sony Ericsson is ahead of many companies by already eliminating substances from its new products that others have only identified for future action. More information. SE's pdf List of Banned & Restricted Substances.	Since 2007 all SE products have been PVC free and in 2009 all charger cables except one legacy charger, became completely free of PVC. All models placed on the market after 1 January 2008 are BFR free in circuit boards, casings and cables, older models may still contain BFRs in circuit boards and substrates. More information. Banned & Restricted Substances. See also p 12-13 Sustainability Report 2009.	All new SE products are now beryllium free and phthalate free. Antimony is also banned apart from two minor remaining applications where antimony is used; alternatives have been developed for moisture protection and antimony is being phased out, but the use of antimony in varistors has been exempted from the phase out plan until replacement materials have been identified. More information. There are also a few exemptions for products placed on the market before 1 January 2008. More information.	SE scores maximum points (doubled) on this criterion. All SE products are PVC-free, with the exception of cables in early models of chargers. Since January 2008, all new SE models are BFR-free with the exception of a few components whose phase out is on-going. At present, new Sony Ericsson products are 99.9% free from all halogenated flame retardant. More information. Environmental product declarations for phones and mobile broadband.

E-Waste

Support for Individual Producer Responsibility	Provides voluntary take-back where no EPR laws exist	Provides info for individual customers on take-back in all countries where products are sold	Reports on amount of e-waste collected and recycled	Use of recycled plastic content in products - and timelines for increasing content
PARTIALLY BAD (1+)	PARTIALLY BAD (1+)	PARTIALLY GOOD (2+)	PARTIALLY BAD (1+)	BAD (0)
Sony Ericsson has launched an individual product 'environmental warranty' as part of its commitment to Individual Producer Responsibility, by which it commits to recycle its products in an environmentally sound way when any SE product is taken to any designated collection point globally, regardless of where the product was originally purchased. More information. SE also states that it supports legislation and participates in the process of putting legislation in place. However, it needs to clarify that its understanding and commitment to IPR means supporting full internalisation and transparent feedback of its products real end-of-life costs, ie through differentiated financing that accounts for each brand separately, independent of whether that is via its own take-back system or participation in other systems. Also p.14 of 2008 Sustainability Report.	Sony Ericsson has initiated its new 'environmental warranty' programme that includes take-back and recycling in Taiwan, China, Thailand, Singapore, Malaysia, Philippines, New Zealand, India, Australia, Israel, USA and Canada. Sony Ericsson intended to complete this rollout by 2009 in all the countries in which it operates, however, customers in Central and South America, Africa and the Middle East, as well as Russia, Turkey, Ukraine and several South East Asian countries are informed that take-back is 'coming soon'. More information and here.	Sony Ericsson intends to provide information to customers in all the countries in which it operates. More information. Sony Ericsson provides links from its 'support' page to customers in Taiwan, China, Thailand, Singapore, Malaysia, Philippines, New Zealand, India, Australia, Israel, USA, Canada and Europe. Customers in other countries are informed that SE take-back is coming soon. More information.	Sony Ericsson reports that 770,000 mobile phones were recycled through its system in 2009. See p 10 Sustainability Report 2009. Sony Ericsson estimates that in 2008 around 5% of SE phones (based on sales volume) have been collected and recycled through European recycling schemes. This figure is estimated based on the quantities of categories and products recorded by some European countries. Figures are also given for programmes in the US, Australia and Canada, but it is not clear whether the figures given represent Sony Ericsson phones or overall totals. Hundreds of thousands of obsolete mobile phones have been collected since the start of SE's own service operations. More information.	Sony Ericsson's new 'GreenHeart' pioneer phones use a minimum of 50% recycled plastics. The MH300 Green Heart™ headset includes 100% recycled plastics in most plastic parts. More information. Sony Ericsson is looking to use post consumer recycled plastics further in its products. To score points, SE needs to use recycled plastics across all its products and report the amount of recycled plastic sourced as a % of all plastics used. More information.

Energy

Support for global mandatory reduction of GHG emissions	Company carbon footprint disclosure	Commitment to reduce own direct GHG emissions	Amount of renewable energy used	Energy efficiency of New Models (double points)
PARTIALLY BAD (1+)	PARTIALLY BAD (1+)	PARTIALLY GOOD (2+)	PARTIALLY GOOD (2+)	GOOD (3+)
Sony Ericsson signed up in support of the Bali Communiqué, the Poznań Communiqué and most recently the Copenhagen Communiqué, which calls for global emissions to peak and begin to decline rapidly within the next decade; this scenario will require a reduction of 50-85% by 2050. It also states that developed countries need to take on immediate and deep emission reduction commitments that are much higher than the global average, but provides no concrete numbers. More information here and here.	Sony Ericsson reports its total GHG emissions as 43,160,209 kg CO ₂ , reduced from 57,390,998 in 2008, using the GHG Protocol to calculate its carbon footprint. See p 8 Sustainability Report 2009. For more points Sony Ericsson needs to provide evidence of external verification. More information.	Sony Ericsson has absolute targets to reduce its total GHG emissions. By 2015 it aims to: - reduce emissions from the full life cycle of its products by 15%; - reduce emissions from its internal activities by 20%. Both targets are based on 2008 levels. More information here and here.	From 2008 all Sony Ericsson sites in Sweden purchase renewable energy (wind, solar and hydro), making up about 40% of the total electricity used at all Sony Ericsson sites. More information. Sony Ericsson states that over 30% of all electricity purchased globally has been certified by the Swedish Society for Nature Conservation. However, although this is new information the question of additionality of its renewable energy purchases is not clarified ie. is this in addition to RE sourced via the Swedish national grid. See p 8 Sustainability Report 2009. More information.	All new models after 2005 meet the requirements of Energy Star, and "...67% are better than the EU CoC power requirements. The standby power is not more than 0.1 W for all new charger models after 2005." More information. Also p.10 2008 Sustainability Report .

Criteria on Toxic Chemicals

Greenpeace wants to see electronics companies clean up their act.

Substituting harmful chemicals in the production of electronics will prevent worker exposure to these substances and contamination of communities that neighbour production facilities. Eliminating harmful substances will also prevent leaching/off-gassing of chemicals like brominated flame retardants (BFR) during use, and enable electronic scrap to be safely recycled. The presence of toxic substances in electronics perpetuates the toxic cycle – during reprocessing of electronic waste and by using contaminated secondary materials to make new products.

The issue of toxicity is overarching. Until the use of toxic substances is eliminated, it is impossible to secure 'safe' recycling. For this reason, the points awarded to corporate practice on chemicals are weighted more heavily than criteria on recycling.

Although there are five criteria on both chemicals and waste, the top score on chemicals is 18 points, as double points are awarded for vinyl plastic-free (PVC) and BFR-free models on the market, whereas the top score on e-waste is 15 points.

The first criterion has been sharpened to require companies not only to have a chemicals policy underpinned by the Precautionary Principle, but also to support a revision of the RoHS Directive that bans further harmful substances, specifically BFRs, chlorinated flame retardants (CFRs) and PVC. The criterion on Chemicals Management remains the same. The criterion: BFR-free and PVC-free models on the market, also remains the same and continues to score double points.

The two former criteria: Commitment to eliminating PVC with timeline and Commitment to eliminating all BFRs with timeline, have been merged into one criterion, with the lower level of commitment to PVC or BFR elimination determining the score on this criterion.

A new criterion has been added, namely Phase out of additional substances with timeline(s). The additional substances, many of which have already been identified by the brands as suspect substances for potential future elimination are:

- (1) all phthalates,
- (2) beryllium, including alloys and compounds and
- (3) antimony/antimony compounds

Criteria on e-waste

Greenpeace expects companies to take financial responsibility for dealing with the electronic waste (e-waste) generated by their products, to take back discarded products in all countries with sales of their products and to re-use or recycle them responsibly. Individual Producer Responsibility (IPR) provides a feedback loop to the product designers of the end-of-life costs of treating discarded electronic products and thus an incentive to design out those costs.

An additional e-waste criterion has been added and most of the existing criteria have been sharpened, with additional demands. The new e-waste criterion requires the brands to report on the use of recycled plastic content across all products and provide timelines for increasing content.

Criteria on energy

The five new energy criteria address key expectations that Greenpeace has of responsible companies that are serious about tackling climate change. They are:

- (1) Support for global mandatory reduction of greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions;
- (2) Disclosure of the company's own GHG emissions plus emissions from two stages of the supply chain;
- (3) Commitment to reduce the company's own GHG emissions with timelines;
- (4) Amount of renewable energy used
- (5) Energy efficiency of new models (companies score double on this criterion)

Click here to see more detailed information on the ranking

Ranking criteria explained

As of the 8th edition of the Guide to Greener Electronics, Greenpeace scores electronics brands on a tightened set of chemicals and e-waste criteria, (which include new criteria) and on new energy criteria.

The ranking criteria reflect the demands of the Toxic Tech campaign to electronics companies. Our two demands are that companies should:

- (1) clean up their products by eliminating hazardous substances; and
- (2) take-back and recycle their products responsibly once they become obsolete.

The two issues are connected: the use of harmful chemicals in electronic products prevents their safe recycling once the products are discarded.

Given the increasing evidence of climate change and the urgency of addressing this issue, Greenpeace has added new energy criteria to encourage electronics companies to:

- (3) improve their corporate policies and practices with respect to Climate and Energy

Ranking regrading: Companies have the opportunity to move towards a greener ranking as the guide will continue to be updated every quarter. However penalty points will be deducted from overall scores if Greenpeace finds a company lying, practicing double standards or other corporate misconduct.

Disclaimer: Greenpeace's 'Guide to Greener Electronics' aims to clean up the electronics sector and get manufacturers to take responsibility for the full life cycle of their products, including the electronic waste that their products generate and the energy used by their products and operations.

The guide does not rank companies on labour standards, social responsibility or any other issues, but recognises that these are important in the production and use of electronics products.

Changes in ranking guide: We first released our 'Guide to Greener Electronics' in August 2006, which ranked the 14 top manufacturers of personal computers and mobile phones according to their policies on toxic chemicals and recycling.

In the sixth issue of the Guide, we added the leading manufacturers of TVs – namely, Philips and Sharp – and the game console producers Nintendo and Microsoft. The other market leaders for TVs and game consoles are already included in the Guide.

In the eighth edition, we sharpened some of the existing ranking criteria on toxic chemicals and e-waste and added a criterion on each issue. We also added five new energy criteria. In the fourteenth edition the criteria for the Precautionary Principle was made more challenging.

For the latest version greenpeace.org/greenelectronics

Toshiba, Samsung, LGE, Dell and Lenovo continue to be penalised in this latest version of the Guide for backtracking on their commitments to phase out vinyl plastic (PVC) and brominated flame retardants (BFRs). Toshiba is served with a further penalty point for misleading its customers and Greenpeace by not admitting that it would not meet its commitment. In addition, Microsoft is served with a penalty point for the first time for backtracking on its commitment to phase out PVC and BFRs by the end of 2010.