

STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA
COUNTY OF MORTON

IN DISTRICT COURT
SOUTH CENTRAL JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Case No. 30-2019-CV-00180

Energy Transfer LP, (formerly known as)
Energy Transfer Equity, L.P.), Energy)
Transfer Operating, L.P. (formerly known)
As Energy Transfer Partners, L.P., and)
Dakota Access, L.L.C.,)

Plaintiffs,)

-vs-)

Greenpeace International (also known as)
"Stichting Greenpeace Council";)
Greenpeace Inc.; Greenpeace Fund, Inc.)
Red Warrior Society (also known as "Red)
Warrior Camp; Cody Hall and Krystal Two)
Bulls;)

Defendants.)

SPECIAL VERDICT FORM

This is the Special Verdict form on which the Court gave instructions. You are required to make a special written finding upon each issue of fact. You will note that the questions are to be answered with "Yes" or "No" or other brief answer. The answer to each question must be the unanimous answer of the Jury. The Jury Leader will write the unanimous answer of the Jury in the space provided opposite the question. You will refrain from answering any question that has become moot by your answer to a previous question.

TRESPASS TO LAND

1. Did Defendants trespass on Energy Transfer's land?

Greenpeace Inc. X YES NO

Greenpeace Fund YES ~~X~~ NO

Greenpeace International YES X NO

If you answered YES as to any of the Defendants on Question 1, then answer Question 2 as to those Defendant(s).

2. **How much do you award to Energy Transfer in compensatory damages for trespass to land?**

Greenpeace Inc. ^{earle} ~~James~~ ~~James~~ 3,761,034

Greenpeace Fund

Greenpeace International _____

AIDING AND ABETTING TRESPASS TO LAND

3. **Did Defendants aid and abet a third party's trespass to Energy Transfer's land?**

Greenpeace Inc. X YES NO

Greenpeace Fund _____ YES _____ NO

Greenpeace International _____ YES _____  _____ NO

If you answered YES as to any of the Defendants on Question 3, then answer Question 4 as to those Defendant(s).

4. **How much do you award to Energy Transfer in compensatory damages for aiding and abetting trespass to land?**

Greenpeace Inc. 3,761,034

Greenpeace Fund

Greenpeace International _____

TRESPASS TO CHATTEL

5. Did Defendants commit trespass to chattel against Energy Transfer?

Greenpeace Inc.	<u> X </u>	YES	<u> </u>	NO	
Greenpeace Fund		<u> </u>	YES	<u> X </u>	NO
Greenpeace International		<u> </u>	YES	<u> X </u>	NO

If you answered YES as to any of the Defendants on Question 5, then answer Question 6 as to those Defendant(s).

6. How much do you award to Energy Transfer in compensatory damages for trespass to chattel?

Greenpeace Inc.	Corr <u>3,761,034</u> 3,761,034
Greenpeace Fund	<u> </u>
Greenpeace International	<u> </u>

AIDING AND ABETTING TRESPASS TO CHATTEL

7. Did Defendants aid or abet a third party's trespass to chattel against Energy Transfer?

Greenpeace Inc. X YES NO

Greenpeace Fund _____ YES _____ X _____ NO

Greenpeace International _____ YES _____ NO

If you answered YES as to any of the Defendants on Question 7, then answer Question 8 as to those Defendant(s).

8. How much do you award to Energy Transfer in compensatory damages for aiding and abetting trespass to chattel?

Greenpeace Inc. 3,761,034

Greenpeace Fund

Greenpeace International _____

CONVERSION

9. Did Defendants commit conversion against Energy Transfer?

Greenpeace Inc.	<u>X</u> YES	<u> </u> NO
Greenpeace Fund	<u> </u> YES	<u>X</u> NO
Greenpeace International	<u> </u> YES	<u>X</u> NO

If you answered YES as to any of the Defendants on Question 9, then answer Question 10 as to those Defendant(s).

10. How much do you award to Energy Transfer in compensatory damages for conversion?

Greenpeace Inc.	<u>3,761,034</u>
Greenpeace Fund	<u>—</u>
Greenpeace International	<u>—</u>

AIDING AND ABETTING CONVERSION

11. Did Defendants aid and abet conversion against Energy Transfer?

Greenpeace Inc. X YES NO

Greenpeace Fund YES X NO

Greenpeace International YES X NO

If you answered YES as to any of the Defendants on Question 11, then answer Question 12 as to those Defendant(s).

12. How much do you award to Energy Transfer in compensatory damages for aiding and abetting conversion?

Greenpeace Inc. 3,761,034

Greenpeace Fund

Greenpeace International

NUISANCE

13. Did Defendants commit nuisance against Energy Transfer?

Greenpeace Inc.	<u> X </u>	YES	<u> </u>	NO	
Greenpeace Fund		<u> </u>	YES	<u> X </u>	NO
Greenpeace International		<u> </u>	YES	<u> X </u>	NO

If you answered YES as to any of the Defendants on Question 13, then answer Question 14 as to those Defendant(s).

14. How much do you award to Energy Transfer in compensatory damages for nuisance?

Greenpeace Inc.	<u> 3,761,034 </u>
Greenpeace Fund	<u> — </u>
Greenpeace International	<u> — </u>

AIDING AND ABETTING NUISANCE

15. Did Defendants aid and abet a third party's nuisance against Energy Transfer?

Greenpeace Inc. X YES NO

Greenpeace Fund _____ YES _____ ~~X~~ NO

Greenpeace International _____ YES _____ ~~_____~~ NO

If you answered YES as to any of the Defendants on Question 15, then answer Question 16 as to those Defendant(s).

16. How much do you award to Energy Transfer in compensatory damages for aiding and abetting nuisance?

Greenpeace Inc. 3,761,034

Greenpeace Fund

Greenpeace International _____

CONSPIRACY

17. Did Defendants commit a conspiracy against Energy Transfer?

Greenpeace Inc. X YES NO

Greenpeace Fund YES X NO

Greenpeace International X YES NO

If you answered YES as to any of the Defendants on Question 17, then answer Question 18 as to those Defendant(s).

18. How much do you award to Energy Transfer in compensatory damages for conspiracy?

Greenpeace Inc. 439,895

Greenpeace Fund —

Greenpeace International 439,895

DEFAMATION

19. Did Defendants defame Energy Transfer with any of the following statements?

Statement 1: Energy Transfer “damag[ed] at least 380 sacred and cultural sites along the DAPL pipeline route.”

Statement 2: Energy Transfer “us[ed] pepper spray and attack dogs on peaceful Water Protectors and pipeline opponents.”

Statement 3: “It’s an important step that Nordea put its foot down and now has specific requirements that the oil pipeline not go through the Standing Rock Sioux Tribe’s land. It sends a clear signal to the world that the rights of indigenous peoples must be respected.”

Statement 4: “Given that Indigenous rights are presumed to be respected by the [Equator Principles Financial Institutions], . . . it is for us inexplicable that . . . gross violations of Native land titles . . . and the desecration of burial grounds have not been identified early on as reasons for [BBVA] to not provide funding for this project.”

Statement 5: “DAPL personnel deliberately desecrated documented burial grounds and other culturally important sites.”

Statement 6: “[P]eaceful, nonviolent encampment on Standing Rock Sioux Tribal land in the path of the pipeline [and] Water Protectors . . . have been met with extreme violence, such as the use of water cannons, pepper spray, concussion grenades, tasers, LRADs (Long Range Acoustic Devices), and dogs, from local and national law enforcement, and Energy Transfer Partners and their private security.”

Statement 7: “For months, the Standing Rock Sioux have been resisting the construction of a pipeline through their tribal land and waters that would carry oil from North Dakota’s fracking fields to Illinois.”

Statement 8: “For months, the Standing Rock Sioux and allies have been peacefully protesting the crude oil pipeline, but have been met with aggression and violence from Dakota Access private security and construction crews.”

Statement 9: “DAPL personnel deliberately desecrated documented burial grounds and other culturally important sites.”

Greenpeace Inc. X YES _____ NO

➤ If yes, please list the respective number(s) of the statement(s): 1-9

Greenpeace Fund X YES _____ NO

➤ If yes, please list the respective number(s) of the statement(s): 1-9

Greenpeace International X YES _____ NO

➤ If yes, please list the respective number(s) of the statement(s): 1-9

If you answered YES as to any of the Defendants on Question 19, then answer Question 20 as to those Defendant(s).

20. How much do you award to Energy Transfer in compensatory damages for injuries from Defendants' defamation?

Greenpeace Inc. ~~10,450,000~~ 8,326,425

Greenpeace Fund ~~10,450,000~~ 8,326,425

Greenpeace International ~~10,450,000~~ 8,326,425

If you answered YES as to any of the Defendants on Question 19, then answer Question 21 as to those Defendant(s).

21. Did Defendants commit defamation per se against Energy Transfer?

Greenpeace Inc. X YES _____ NO

➤ If yes, please list the respective number(s) of the statement(s): 1-2, 4-6, 8-9

Greenpeace Fund X YES _____ NO

➤ If yes, please list the respective number(s) of the statement(s): 1-2, 4-6, 8-9

Greenpeace International X YES _____ NO

➤ If yes, please list the respective number(s) of the statement(s): 1-2, 4-6, 8-9

If you answered YES as to any of the Defendants on Question 21, then answer Question 22 as to those Defendant(s).

22. How much, in your discretion, do you award in presumed damages for harm to Energy Transfer's reputation?

Greenpeace Inc. 8,324,425

Greenpeace Fund 8,324,425

Greenpeace International 8,324,425

TORTIOUS INTERFERENCE

23. Did Defendants commit tortious interference with business against Energy Transfer?

Greenpeace Inc. X YES _____ NO

Greenpeace Fund X YES _____ NO

Greenpeace International X YES _____ NO

If you answered YES as to any of the Defendants on Question 23, then answer Question 24 as to those Defendant(s).

24. How much do you award to Energy Transfer in compensatory damages for tortious interference?

Greenpeace Inc. 13,352,125

Greenpeace Fund 13,352,125

Greenpeace International 13,352,125

EXEMPLARY DAMAGES

If you awarded compensatory damages to Energy Transfer in any of the following questions, then answer Question 25 as to each Defendant for each claim:

Question Numbers 2 (Trespass to Land), 4 (Aiding and Abetting Trespass to Land), 6 (Trespass to Chattel), 8 (Aiding and Abetting Trespass to Chattel), 10 (Conversion), 12 (Aiding and Abetting Conversion), 14 (Nuisance), 16 (Aiding and Abetting Nuisance), 18 (Civil Conspiracy), 20 (Defamation), 22 (Defamation Per Se), and/or 24 (Tortious Interference).

25. How much do you award to Energy Transfer in exemplary damages?

CLAIM	EXEMPLARY DAMAGES
TRESPASS TO LAND	GREENPEACE INC: \$ <u>\$10,500,000</u> GREENPEACE FUND: \$ _____ GREENPEACE INTERNATIONAL: \$ _____
AIDING & ABETTING TRESPASS TO LAND	GREENPEACE INC: \$ <u>\$10,500,000</u> GREENPEACE FUND: \$ _____ GREENPEACE INTERNATIONAL: \$ _____
TRESPASS TO CHATTEL	GREENPEACE INC: \$ <u>\$10,500,000</u> GREENPEACE FUND: \$ _____ GREENPEACE INTERNATIONAL: \$ _____
AIDING & ABETTING TRESPASS TO CHATTEL	GREENPEACE INC: \$ <u>\$10,500,000</u> GREENPEACE FUND: \$ _____ GREENPEACE INTERNATIONAL: \$ _____
CONVERSION	GREENPEACE INC: \$ <u>\$10,500,000</u> GREENPEACE FUND: \$ _____ GREENPEACE INTERNATIONAL: \$ _____

CLAIM	EXEMPLARY DAMAGES
AIDING & ABETTING CONVERSION	GREENPEACE INC: \$ <u>10,500,000</u> GREENPEACE FUND: \$ _____ GREENPEACE INTERNATIONAL: \$ _____
NUISANCE	GREENPEACE INC: \$ <u>10,500,000</u> GREENPEACE FUND: \$ _____ GREENPEACE INTERNATIONAL: \$ _____
AIDING & ABETTING NUISANCE	GREENPEACE INC: \$ <u>10,500,000</u> GREENPEACE FUND: \$ _____ GREENPEACE INTERNATIONAL: \$ _____
CIVIL CONSPIRACY	GREENPEACE INC: \$ <u>10,500,000</u> GREENPEACE FUND: \$ _____ GREENPEACE INTERNATIONAL: \$ _____
DEFAMATION	GREENPEACE INC: \$ <u>12,500,000</u> GREENPEACE FUND: \$ <u>12,500,000</u> GREENPEACE INTERNATIONAL: \$ <u>12,500,000</u>
DEFAMATION PER SE	GREENPEACE INC: \$ <u>12,500,000</u> GREENPEACE FUND: \$ <u>12,500,000</u> GREENPEACE INTERNATIONAL: \$ <u>12,500,000</u>
TORTIOUS INTERFERENCE	GREENPEACE INC: \$ <u>10,500,000</u> GREENPEACE FUND: \$ <u>10,500,000</u> GREENPEACE INTERNATIONAL: \$ <u>10,500,000</u>

INTEREST ON DAMAGES

26. Should Energy Transfer receive interest on the cumulative damages you have awarded?

_____ YES

~~_____ NO~~

27. What rate of interest should be used?

_____ %

TRESPASS TO LAND

28. Did Defendants trespass on Dakota Access's land?

Greenpeace Inc. YES NO

Greenpeace Fund YES X NO

Greenpeace International _____ YES X NO

If you answered YES as to any of the Defendants on Question 28, then answer Question 29 as to those Defendant(s).

29. How much do you award to Dakota Access in compensatory damages for trespass to land?

trespass to land?

Greenpeace Inc. 11/20/2008 5,851,034

Greenpeace Fund

Greenpeace International _____

AIDING AND ABETTING TRESPASS TO LAND

30. Did Defendants aid and abet a third party's trespass to Dakota Access's land?

Greenpeace Inc. X YES _____ NO

Greenpeace Fund _____ YES _____ X _____ NO

Greenpeace International _____ YES X _____ NO

If you answered YES as to any of the Defendants on Question 30, then answer Question 31 as to those Defendant(s).

31. How much do you award to Dakota Access in compensatory damages for aiding and abetting trespass to land?

Greenpeace Inc. Costa Rica 5,851,034

Greenpeace Fund _____

Greenpeace International

TRESPASS TO CHATTEL

32. Did Defendants commit trespass to chattel against Dakota Access?

Greenpeace Inc.	<u> X </u>	YES	<u> </u>	NO
Greenpeace Fund	<u> </u>	YES	<u> X </u>	NO
Greenpeace International	<u> </u>	YES	<u> X </u>	NO

If you answered YES as to any of the Defendants on Question 32, then answer Question 33 as to those Defendant(s).

33. How much do you award to Dakota Access in compensatory damages for trespass to chattel?

Greenpeace Inc. C² 6,188.375

Greenpeace Fund —

Greenpeace International —

AIDING AND ABETTING TRESPASS TO CHATTEL

34. Did Defendants aid or abet a third party's trespass to chattel against Dakota Access?

Greenpeace Inc. YES _____ NO

Greenpeace Fund _____ YES _____ NO

Greenpeace International _____ YES X _____ NO

If you answered YES as to any of the Defendants on Question 34, then answer Question 35 as to those Defendant(s).

35. How much do you award to Dakota Access in compensatory damages for aiding and abetting trespass to chattel?

Greenpeace Inc. 6,188.375

Greenpeace Fund

Greenpeace International _____

CONVERSION

36. Did Defendants commit conversion against Dakota Access?

Greenpeace Inc.	<u> X </u> YES	<u> </u> NO
Greenpeace Fund	<u> </u> YES	<u> X </u> NO
Greenpeace International	<u> </u> YES	<u> X </u> NO

If you answered YES as to any of the Defendants on Question 36, then answer Question 37 as to those Defendant(s).

37. How much do you award to Dakota Access in compensatory damages for conversion?

Greenpeace Inc.	<u> 6,188,375 </u>
Greenpeace Fund	<u> </u>
Greenpeace International	<u> </u>

AIDING AND ABETTING CONVERSION

38. Did Defendants aid and abet conversion against Dakota Access?

Greenpeace Inc. X YES NO

Greenpeace Fund YES X NO

Greenpeace International YES X NO

If you answered YES as to any of the Defendants on Question 38, then answer Question 39 as to those Defendant(s).

39. How much do you award to Dakota Access in compensatory damages for aiding and abetting conversion?

Greenpeace Inc. 6,188,375

Greenpeace Fund —

Greenpeace International —

NUISANCE

40. Did Defendants commit nuisance against Dakota Access?

Greenpeace Inc. X YES NO

Greenpeace Fund YES X NO

Greenpeace International YES X NO

If you answered YES as to any of the Defendants on Question 40, then answer Question 41 as to those Defendant(s).

41. How much do you award to Dakota Access in compensatory damages for nuisance?

Greenpeace Inc. 8,278,375

Greenpeace Fund

Greenpeace International

AIDING AND ABETTING NUISANCE

42. Did Defendants aid and abet a third party's nuisance against Dakota Access?

Access:

Greenpeace Inc. X YES _____ NO _____

Greenpeace Fund _____ YES _____ ~~_____~~ NO

Greenpeace International _____ YES ~~_____ NO~~

If you answered YES as to any of the Defendants on Question 42, then answer Question 43 as to those Defendant(s).

43. How much do you award to Dakota Access in compensatory damages for aiding and abetting nuisance?

Greenpeace Inc. 8,278,375

Greenpeace Fund

Greenpeace International _____

CONSPIRACY

44. Did Defendants commit a conspiracy against Dakota Access?

Greenpeace Inc. X YES NO

Greenpeace Fund YES X NO

Greenpeace International X YES NO

If you answered YES as to any of the Defendants on Question 44, then answer Question 45 as to those Defendant(s).

45. How much do you award to Dakota Access in compensatory damages for conspiracy?

Greenpeace Inc. 439,895

Greenpeace Fund —

Greenpeace International 439,895

DEFAMATION

46. Did Defendants defame Dakota Access with any of the following statements?

Statement 1: Dakota Access “damag[ed] at least 380 sacred and cultural sites along the DAPL pipeline route.”

Statement 2: Dakota Access “us[ed] pepper spray and attack dogs on peaceful Water Protectors and pipeline opponents.”

Statement 3: “It’s an important step that Nordea put its foot down and now has specific requirements that the oil pipeline not go through the Standing Rock Sioux Tribe’s land. It sends a clear signal to the world that the rights of indigenous peoples must be respected.”

Statement 4: “Given that Indigenous rights are presumed to be respected by the [Equator Principles Financial Institutions], . . . it is for us inexplicable that . . . gross violations of Native land titles . . . and the desecration of burial grounds have not been identified early on as reasons for [BBVA] to not provide funding for this project.”

Statement 5: “DAPL personnel deliberately desecrated documented burial grounds and other culturally important sites.”

Statement 6: “[P]eaceful, nonviolent encampment on Standing Rock Sioux Tribal land in the path of the pipeline [and] Water Protectors . . . have been met with extreme violence, such as the use of water cannons, pepper spray, concussion grenades, tasers, LRADs (Long Range Acoustic Devices), and dogs, from local and national law enforcement, and Dakota Access Partners and their private security.”

Statement 7: “For months, the Standing Rock Sioux have been resisting the construction of a pipeline through their tribal land and waters that would carry oil from North Dakota’s fracking fields to Illinois.”

Statement 8: “For months, the Standing Rock Sioux and allies have been peacefully protesting the crude oil pipeline, but have been met with aggression and violence from Dakota Access private security and construction crews.”

Statement 9: “DAPL personnel deliberately desecrated documented burial grounds and other culturally important sites.”

Greenpeace Inc. X YES _____ NO

➤ If yes, please list the respective number(s) of the statement(s): 1-9

Greenpeace Fund X YES _____ NO

➤ If yes, please list the respective number(s) of the statement(s): 1-9

Greenpeace International X YES _____ NO

➤ If yes, please list the respective number(s) of the statement(s): 1-9

If you answered YES as to any of the Defendants on Question 46, then answer Question 47 as to those Defendant(s).

47. How much do you award to Dakota Access in compensatory damages for injuries from Defendants' defamation?

Greenpeace Inc. ~~11,465,849~~ 8,326,425

Greenpeace Fund ~~11,465,849~~ 8,326,425

Greenpeace International ~~11,465,849~~ 8,326,425

TORTIOUS INTERFERENCE

50. Did Defendants commit tortious interference with business against Dakota Access?

Greenpeace Inc. X YES _____ NO

Greenpeace Fund X YES _____ NO

Greenpeace International X YES _____ NO

If you answered YES as to any of the Defendants on Question 50, then answer Question 51 as to those Defendant(s).

51. How much do you award to Dakota Access in compensatory damages for tortious interference?

Greenpeace Inc. 13,352,125

Greenpeace Fund 13,352,125

Greenpeace International 13,352,125

If you answered YES as to any of the Defendants on Question 46, then answer Question 48 as to those Defendant(s).

48. Did Defendants commit defamation per se against Dakota Access?

Greenpeace Inc. X YES ~~NO~~

➤ If yes, please list the respective number(s) of the statement(s): 1-2, 4-6; 8-9

Greenpeace Fund X YES NO

➤ If yes, please list the respective number(s) of the statement(s): 1-2, 4-6, 8-9

Greenpeace International X YES NO

➤ If yes, please list the respective number(s) of the statement(s): 1-2, 4-6, 8-9

If you answered YES as to any of the Defendants on Question 48, then answer Question 49 as to those Defendant(s).

49. How much, in your discretion, do you award in presumed damages for harm to Dakota Access's reputation?

Greenpeace Inc. 8,326,425

Greenpeace Fund 8,326,425

Greenpeace International 8,326,425

EXEMPLARY DAMAGES

If you awarded compensatory damages to Dakota Access in any of the following questions, then answer Question 52 as to each Defendant for each claim:

Question Numbers 29 (Trespass to Land), 31 (Aiding and Abetting Trespass to Land), 33 (Trespass to Chattel), 35 (Aiding and Abetting Trespass to Chattel), 37 (Conversion), 39 (Aiding and Abetting Conversion), 41 (Nuisance), 43 (Aiding and Abetting Nuisance), 45 (Civil Conspiracy), 47 (Defamation), 49 (Defamation Per Se), and/or 51 (Tortious Interference).

52. How much do you award to Dakota Access in exemplary damages?

CLAIM	EXEMPLARY DAMAGES
TRESPASS TO LAND	GREENPEACE INC: \$ <u>10,500,000</u> GREENPEACE FUND: \$ _____ GREENPEACE INTERNATIONAL: \$ _____
AIDING & ABETTING TRESPASS TO LAND	GREENPEACE INC: \$ <u>10,500,000</u> GREENPEACE FUND: \$ _____ GREENPEACE INTERNATIONAL: \$ _____
TRESPASS TO CHATTEL	GREENPEACE INC: \$ <u>10,500,000</u> GREENPEACE FUND: \$ _____ GREENPEACE INTERNATIONAL: \$ _____
AIDING & ABETTING TRESPASS TO CHATTEL	GREENPEACE INC: \$ <u>10,500,000</u> GREENPEACE FUND: \$ _____ GREENPEACE INTERNATIONAL: \$ _____
CONVERSION	GREENPEACE INC: \$ <u>10,500,000</u> GREENPEACE FUND: \$ _____ GREENPEACE INTERNATIONAL: \$ _____

CLAIM	EXEMPLARY DAMAGES
AIDING & ABETTING CONVERSION	GREENPEACE INC: \$ <u>10,500,000</u> GREENPEACE FUND: \$ _____ GREENPEACE INTERNATIONAL: \$ _____
NUISANCE	GREENPEACE INC: \$ <u>10,500,000</u> GREENPEACE FUND: \$ _____ GREENPEACE INTERNATIONAL: \$ _____
AIDING & ABETTING NUISANCE	GREENPEACE INC: \$ <u>10,500,000</u> GREENPEACE FUND: \$ _____ GREENPEACE INTERNATIONAL: \$ _____
CIVIL CONSPIRACY	GREENPEACE INC: \$ <u>10,500,000</u> GREENPEACE FUND: \$ _____ GREENPEACE INTERNATIONAL: \$ _____
DEFAMATION	GREENPEACE INC: \$ <u>12,500,000</u> GREENPEACE FUND: \$ <u>12,500,000</u> GREENPEACE INTERNATIONAL: \$ <u>12,500,000</u>
DEFAMATION PER SE	GREENPEACE INC: \$ <u>12,500,000</u> GREENPEACE FUND: \$ <u>12,500,000</u> GREENPEACE INTERNATIONAL: \$ <u>12,500,000</u>
TORTIOUS INTERFERENCE	GREENPEACE INC: \$ <u>10,500,000</u> GREENPEACE FUND: \$ <u>10,500,000</u> GREENPEACE INTERNATIONAL: \$ <u>10,500,000</u>

INTEREST ON DAMAGES

53. **Should Dakota Access receive interest on the cumulative damages you have awarded?**

_____ YES X NO

54. **What rate of interest should be used?**

_____ %

Please have your jury leader sign and date this form and turn it in because you have completed your deliberations.

3-19-25 _____

Date

Jury Leader