## The Burden of **Air Pollution** in Thailand 2021

May 2022

Mercure HOTEI

GREENPEACE

# The Burden of **Air Pollution** in Thailand 2021

Authors: Aidan Farrow

Andreas Anhäuser Alliya Moun-Ob

## Contributors:

Yung Jen Chen Erin Newport

Published by:

Greenpeace Thailand

#### COVER IMAGE:

Toxic Smog in Bangkok © Chanklang Kanthong / Greenpeace

## CONTENTS

 Key Findings

 Introduction

 Methods

 Ground-level Air Quality Measurements

 Health Impact Assessment

 Uncertainty

01

02

04

05

05 06

08

15

16

#### Results

Conclusion References

> Toxic Smog in Bangkok © Chanklang Kanthong / Greenpeace



Air Pollution Protest in Bangkok © Wason Wanichakorn / Greenpeace



## **KEY FINDINGS**

## What was air quality like in Thailand in 2021?

The measured 2021 annual mean  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations for each province included in this report range from 12.7 to 31.7  $\mu g/m^3$ . Across the whole population included in the study the weighted annual mean  $PM_{2.5}$  exposure was 21.3  $\mu g/m^3$ , more than four times higher than the Air Quality Guideline recommended by the World Health Organization (WHO).

#### What were the health impacts?

It is estimated that long-term exposure to  $PM_{2.5}$  in the provinces included in this study contributed to 29,000 premature deaths during 2021.

Had PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations in these locations met the WHO guideline, the number of premature deaths attributable to PM<sub>2.5</sub> pollution could have been reduced by 77%, saving an estimated 22,000 lives each year.

## How do deaths from air pollution compare to fatalities from other causes?

Across the provinces included in this report, there were an estimated 29,000 premature deaths attributable to  $PM_{2.5}$  exposure in 2021. Per capita, this fatality rate is greater than the combined rate of fatalities from road accidents, drug use and homicide in Thailand.

Bangkok Choking on Toxic Smog © Arnaud Vittet / Greenpeace

## What time of year has the worst air pollution?

Exposure to PM<sub>2.5</sub> during the months of January, February and March accounted for almost 50% of the exposure during 2021. During these three months weather conditions and agricultural burning compound the air quality problems that are created by road traffic, industry and other uses of fossil fuels.

## How can air quality in Thailand be improved?

A commitment from the Thai government to follow the WHO's new Air Quality Guidelines with time bound strategies and plans. It should include eliminating PM<sub>2.5</sub> sources by phasing-out coal and fossil fuels, transitioning to renewable energy, tackling transboundary maize-haze, applying sustainable urban design and setting the PM<sub>2.5</sub> national standard to meet the WHO guidelines to protect citizen's lives.

## INTRODUCTION

"The global burden of disease associated with air pollution exposure exacts a massive toll on human health worldwide: exposure to air pollution is estimated to cause millions of deaths and lost years of healthy life annually. The burden of disease attributable to air pollution is now estimated to be on a par with other major global health risks such as unhealthy diet and tobacco smoking, and air pollution is now recognized as the single biggest environmental threat to human health."

The World Health Organization (WHO, 2021)

Not only are the consequences of exposure to air pollution tragic for the individuals affected, but they also have wider implications for our society and the economy. It leads to shortened lives, increased medical costs, and reduced productivity through lost working days (HRAPIE, 2013).

Computer modelling has projected that the annual population-weighted exposure to PM<sub>2.5</sub>

across all urban areas in Thailand is 27  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> (WHO, 2016, in Annex 1) and that in 2012, 17% of all the deaths of Thai people from across the country aged 14 and older could be attributed to long term exposure to PM<sub>2.5</sub> from fossil-fuel combustion (Vohra et al., 2021).

This report uses ground level monitoring data to estimate the number of premature deaths that can be attributed to fine particulate



matter air pollution (PM<sub>2.5</sub>, see Box 1). Long-term exposure to PM<sub>2.5</sub> pollution is considered in Bangkok and 30 out of the 76 Thai provinces. Only those provinces for which PM<sub>2.5</sub> data are available are included, these areas are home to about half of Thailand's population. Comparison is also made against four major city regions, New York, Delhi, Kuala Lumpur and Seoul.

The air pollution problems facing society today are closely linked to the climate crisis through a common cause, the combustion of fossil fuels and unsustainable agricultural practices. The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) reported in 2022 that a substantial reduction in fossil fuel use is required to reduce emissions of greenhouse gases and air pollutants, and that because the cost of low-emission energy has dramatically reduced it can now be more costly to maintain emissionintensive infrastructure than to transition to renewable energy (IPCC, 2022).

The World Health Organization (WHO) publishes guidelines for air quality which are designed to protect public health. The WHO Air Quality Guidelines are determined through detailed reviews of the latest scientific research and apply to concentrations of different air pollutants over differing averaging periods. In many countries, including Thailand, there are also national air pollution standards. However, in Thailand, the national standards are substantially weaker than the WHO's Air Quality Guidelines (Table 1). To encourage progress in locations where existing standards and pollution concentrations significantly exceed the WHO guideline concentrations, interim targets are also suggested by the WHO. The WHO guideline, selected interim targets and the current national standards for annual mean concentrations of PM<sub>25</sub> are shown in Table 1.

#### Table 1: The World Health Organization Air Quality Guidelines and Thai national air quality standards for annual average concentrations of PM<sub>2,5</sub>.

	Annual mean PM <sub>2.5</sub> concentration (μg/m <sup>3</sup> )
National Standard in Thailand	25
WHO Interim Target 2 (IT-2)	25
WHO Interim Target 3 (IT-3)	15
WHO Air Quality Guideline	5

Source: WHO (2021), Kutlar Joss et al. (2017)

#### Box 1: What is PM<sub>2.5</sub>?

Particulate matter (PM) is pollution in the form of small liquid or solid particles suspended in the atmosphere. PM pollution is described according to the size of the particles, rather than the chemicals within them.

PM<sub>2.5</sub> refers to any particle that is less than 2.5 micrometres across; it is sometimes known as 'fine particulate matter' or 'fine suspended particles' (FSP). PM<sub>2.5</sub> particles are small enough to penetrate deep into the lungs to the gas-exchange region (Morakinyo et al., 2016).

## METHODS

The number of premature deaths that can be attributed to long-term  $PM_{2.5}$  exposure are estimated using ground-level air quality measurements for 2021. The measured annual average concentrations of  $PM_{2.5}$  are combined with population for each location and country-wide public health data for Thailand. Scientific risk models are then applied to the data in order to quantitatively estimate the health and economic costs of exposure to  $PM_{2.5}$  air pollution in each location. In addition to the actually measured  $PM_{2.5}$  exposure, a further three scenarios are examined by the analysis in order to estimate the potential health benefit from improving air quality in Thailand (Table 2).

This report only assesses premature mortality. Only those causes of mortality where robustly quantifiable relationships with air pollution have been established in the literature and where epidemiological data are available for Thailand in the Global Burden of Disease (GBD, 2019) catalogue are included (Table 3). Many more health impacts can be attributed to  $PM_{2.5}$  exposure, including non-lethal health outcomes such as low birth weight (Dadvand et al., 2013), preterm birth (Trasande et al., 2016), increase in asthma symptoms (HRAPIE, 2013), increase in hospital admissions and emergency room visits due to asthma (Zheng et al., 2015), and mental health issues (Braithwaite et al., 2019).

Scenario	Description	Description
A	2021 Actual	Assessment of premature deaths attributable to PM <sub>2.5</sub> exposure for measured annual mean PM <sub>2.5</sub> concentrations during 2021
В	National Standard in Thailand (= WHO IT-2)	Assessment of premature deaths attributable to annual mean PM <sub>2.5</sub> exposure had WHO IT-2 been achieved in all places where it was not actually achieved
с	WHO IT-3	Assessment of premature deaths attributable to annual mean PM <sub>2.5</sub> exposure had WHO IT-3 been achieved in all places where it was not actually achieved
D	WHO Guideline	Assessment of premature deaths attributable to annual mean PM <sub>2.5</sub> exposure had the WHO Guideline been achieved in all places.

#### Table 2: Scenarios

## Ground-level Air Quality Measurements

The analysis uses measured annual and monthly mean PM<sub>2.5</sub> observations in Thailand aggregated by province from IQAir and published in their 2021 World Air Quality Report (IQAir, 2021). The data include that from governmental and regulatory monitoring stations, as well as non-regulatory stations operated by individuals, educational institutions, and non-profit organisations.

Monitors operated by non-governmental organisations and individuals underwent quality checks by IQAir before being included in the 2021 World Air Quality Report (IQAir, 2021). Photo submissions are used to verify that the sensor is in a suitable, sheltered, outdoor location. IQAir continuously checks that the carbon-dioxide (CO2) concentration, humidity and temperature readings reflect outdoor conditions during operation. The data are also screened for outlying data points when compared with neighbouring monitoring stations during IQAir's quality assurance checks (IQAir, private communication).

The measurements from individual monitoring stations are grouped by the province in which they are located. IQAir uses the average of each individual station within the same province to determine an annual mean PM<sub>25</sub> concentration for that province. This provides an approximation of the province-wide annual average PM<sub>25</sub> concentration. The distribution of monitors within each province is not considered and if the monitors are preferentially located in heavily or lightly polluted areas the PM<sub>25</sub> concentration calculated may over or under-estimate the true province wide average. However, since monitors are usually located where people live, we assume that the data provide a good approximation for the population's average exposure.

Real-world air pollution datasets are rarely complete. Outages during maintenance and technical faults result in missing data. To ensure that systematic bias resulting from diurnal or seasonal patterns in PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration are minimised, data are only included where observations are available for at least 90% of the hours and on at least 90% of days during 2021.

## **Health Impact Assessment**

remature deaths resulting from exposure to PM<sub>2.5</sub> are assessed for the four pollution scenarios listed in Table 2. The following causes of mortality are included: premature mortality from all causes and a breakdown by a selection of specific causes, namely stroke and other cerebrovascular diseases (CeVD), diabetes, ischaemic heart disease (IHD), lung cancer (LC), lower respiratory infections (LRI) and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD).

Incidence rates for deaths by these causes for Thailand, and – for comparison – for New York state, India, Malaysia and South Korea are taken from the Global Burden of Disease catalogue (GBD, 2019).

Risk functions are used to estimate the incidence of premature mortality attributable to  $PM_{2.5}$  pollution at a given concentration. Table 4 shows the relative risk for a 10 µg/m<sup>3</sup> increase in annual mean  $PM_{2.5}$  concentration. Risk ratios and incidence rates are combined with the population number of each location as recorded by Thailand's National Statistical Office (NSO, 2022) (see Table 5) to convert death rates to absolute death numbers per province.

Population data for each of the four reference cities is adopted from IQAir (2021).

The actual incidence  $\mathcal{N}$  of each cause of mortality is estimated for each province using



#### METHODS

the location's population  $\mathcal{P}$  and national (or state, for New York) incidence rate  $n_{a}$ .

$$\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{P} \times n_a$$

The relative risk  $\mathcal{RR}$  of each cause of mortality for a given increase in annual mean  $PM_{2.5}$  concentration is estimated using the risk ratio  $\mathcal{r}_o$  (relative risk at 10 µg/m<sup>3</sup> increase) and pollutant concentration,  $\mathcal{C}$ .

$$\mathcal{RR} = \mathcal{V}_{o}^{(c/c_{o})},$$

with  $C_o = 10 \ \mu g/m^3$ . The incidence of each cause of mortality for a hypothetical scenario with completely clean air is estimated by,

$$\mathcal{N}_{o} = \mathcal{N} / \mathcal{R} \mathcal{R}$$

The incidence attributable to the measured annual mean  $PM_{2.5}$  concentration can therefore be calculated by subtracting the actual incidence and that which is estimated to occur in the clean air scenario. To estimate the incidence for each subsequen scenario where different  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations  $C_S$  are achieved,  $\mathcal{N}_{s'}$  the incidence in clean air is related to the relative risk by

$$\mathcal{N}_{S} = \mathcal{N}_{O} \times (\mathcal{V}_{O}^{(c_{S}/c_{O})} - 1).$$

In places where a scenario's goal was already achieved in 2021, the actually measured annual mean  $PM_{2.5}$  concentration is used (i. e. the air quality was not worsened in any of the scenarios).

## Uncertainty

The premature mortality figures presented here represent useful estimates of the real-world effect of air pollution based on currently available scientific knowledge and data. Like all research, the data and method used contain uncertainty. This uncertainty relates to the precision and representativeness of pollution measurement data, demographic and epidemiological data. Scientific understanding of the relation between exposure to air pollution and the associated risks for health is an active area of research. The estimates account only for long-term exposure to PM<sub>2.5</sub> and not for other air pollutants which are also known to have negative health impacts.

Pollutants such as nitrogen dioxide  $(NO_2)$ , ozone  $(O_3)$  and sulphur-dioxide  $(SO_2)$  typically have poorer data availability than  $PM_{2.5}$ . Furthermore, health impacts from reactive species such as  $NO_2$  are not included in our results because these pollutants tend to have high spatial variability and thus the data from point measurements are less representative for the whole province.

The uncertainty associated with the risk ratios and background incidence rates are presented in Tables 3 and 4.



			Incide	nce per	100,000 (95	5%-confidence interval)		
Location	Risk factor	central	low	high	downward uncertainty	upward uncertainty	Name of cause in GBD (2019)	
	all causes	710	555	896	-22%	26%	All causes	
	CeVD	73	55	94	-24%	28%	Stroke	
	Diabetes	28	21	36	-25%	30%	Diabetes mellitus	
	IHD	74	56	94	-24%	27%	Ischemic heart disease	
Thailand	LC	33	25	43	-24%	30%	Tracheal, bronchus, and lung cancer	
	LRI	44	27	58	-38%	31%	Lower respiratory infections	
	COPD	27	21	36	-25%	31%	Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	
New York City		844	731	963	-13%	14%		
Delhi		675	606	750	-10%	11%	All causes	
Seoul	all causes	597	576	618	-3%	4%	All causes	
Kuala Lumpur		562	463	679	-18%	21%		

Table 3. Relative uncertainties in the background incidence rates (1/100,000/year) used in the health impact assessment.

Table 4. Risk ratios (RRs) and their relative uncertainty used for the health impact assessment, for a
10 μg/m3 change in annual average pollutant concentration.

	Value central	Value Iow	Value high	Source	Downward uncertainty	Upward uncertainty
premature death (all causes)	1.062	1.040	1.083	HRAPIE 2013	-35%	34%
premature death (CeVD)	1.110	1.050	1.170	Pope 2015	-55%	55%
premature death (diabetes)	1.130	1.020	1.260	Pope 2015	-85%	100%
premature death (IHD)	1.140	1.100	1.180	Pope 2015	-29%	29%
premature death (LC)	1.142	1.057	1.234	Krewski 2009	-60%	65%
premature death (LRI)	1.120	1.030	1.300	Mehta 2011	-75%	150%
premature death (COPD)	1.128	1.077	1.182	Krewski 2009	-40%	42%



## RESULTS

The measured 2021 annual mean  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations for each province included in this report range from 12.7 to 31.7 µg/m<sup>3</sup> (Table 5, Figure 1). The population-weighted annual mean  $PM_{2.5}$ concentration was 21.3 µg/m<sup>3.1</sup> While this concentration is within the National Standard in Thailand (25 µg/m<sup>3</sup>), it is more than four times higher than the health based Air Quality Guideline recommended by the WHO (Table 1). Exposure to  $PM_{2.5}$  air pollution at these concentrations increases the risk of premature death by about 14% (9%-19%<sup>2</sup>; HRAPIE, 2013).

Important sources of particulate matter include vehicles and biomass burning (Kanchanasuta et al. 2020). Seasonal weather conditions such as rainfall can remove these pollutants, but other conditions including atmospheric temperature inversions can prevent pollutant dispersion (Fold et al 2020). These seasonal effects mean that in the provinces studied here, 49% of the total 2021 exposure to  $PM_{2.5}$  happened in just three months from January to March (Figure 2). During these months the combined

 $^{1}$  IQAir (2022) reports a population-weighted annual mean of 20.2 µg/m<sup>3</sup> for Thailand. The difference arises from different population numbers used in the weighting. This report uses population numbers for Thailand from the Thailand Statistical Office (NSO, 2022).

<sup>2</sup> 95%-confidence interval



Figure 1: *Left*: Annual mean PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations during 2021 per province. *Right*: Increase in relative risk of premature death attributable to annual mean PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations during 2021 per province. Provinces not included in the study due to insufficient data are left blank.

effect of weather conditions and seasonal pollutant emissions such as agricultural burning compound the air pollution problem that exists year-round as a result of pollution sources like road traffic. Despite the strong seasonal trend, measured monthly mean  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations in Bangkok remain above the WHO annual mean guideline of 5 µg/m<sup>3</sup> in every month of the year.



Figure 2: Monthly mean PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations during 2021 in the country-wide average (left) and in Bangkok (right).

It is estimated that long-term exposure to  $PM_{2.5}$  in the provinces included in this study contributed to 29,000 premature deaths during 2021 (Table 5). In Bangkok where the measured annual mean  $PM_{2.5}$  concentration was 20 µg/m<sup>3</sup>, the risk of premature death from exposure to this pollutant was estimated to be 13% higher than would be the case with clean air. The increased risk corresponds to an estimated 4,400 additional deaths during 2021. Risks and premature deaths for the other provinces included in the study are provided in Table 5.

Due to its large population, the estimated premature deaths are most numerous in Bangkok (Figure 3). However, when adjusting for population, the premature death rate which can be attributed to long-term exposure to  $PM_{2.5}$  is greatest in Phrae where the annual mean  $PM_{2.5}$  concentration is the highest of all provinces included. At 31.7 µg/m<sup>3</sup> the annual mean in Phrae is more than 6 times the WHO guideline and significantly breaches the National Standard in Thailand (Figure 4).



#### RESULTS

Table 5: Measured annual mean PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations during 2021, associated increase in risk of premature death and number of annual premature deaths attributable to this amount of PM<sub>2.5</sub> pollution. Totals for PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration and increased risk of premature death are population-weighted.

Province	Population	ΡΜ <sub>2.5</sub> (μg/m³)	Increased risk of premature death	Premature deaths due to PM <sub>2.5</sub>
Total	34,047,570	21.6	+14%	28,831
Bangkok	5,527,994	20.0	+13%	4,446
Nakhon Ratchasima	2,634,154	20.3	+13%	2,149
Khon Kaen	1,790,863	22.6	+15%	1,615
Chiang Mai	1,789,385	24.9	+16%	1,766
Chon Buri	1,583,672	21.7	+14%	1,375
Udon Thani	1,566,510	24.1	+16%	1,500
Songkhla	1,431,536	12.7	+8%	747
Samut Prakan	1,356,449	21.1	+14%	1,147
Chiang Rai	1,298,425	27.1	+18%	1,386
Nonthaburi	1,288,637	20.8	+13%	1,075
Pathum Thani	1,190,060	17.5	+11%	844
Surat Thani	1,072,464	18.9	+12%	818
Nakhon Sawan	1,035,028	22.9	+15%	945
Kanchanaburi	894,054	19.4	+12%	699
Ratchaburi	868,281	21.6	+14%	751
Phitsanulok	847,384	25.0	+16%	840
Phra Nakhon Si Ayutthaya	820,512	21.7	+14%	712
Narathiwat	809,660	13.7	+9%	454
Rayong	751,343	17.4	+11%	530
Kamphaeng Phet	712,143	22.0	+14%	626
Saraburi	643,963	26.1	+17%	664
Samut Sakhon	586,789	23.3	+15%	545
Yala	542,314	14.0	+9%	311
Phayao	464,505	28.9	+19%	526
Uttaradit	446,148	23.9	+15%	424
Phrae	434,580	31.7	+21%	535
Phuket	418,785	17.4	+11%	295
Lamphun	401,139	28.0	+18%	441
Uthai Thani	325,116	23.9	+15%	309
Satun	324,835	12.7	+8%	170
Samut Songkhram	190,842	24.4	+16%	185



Figure 3: Number of premature deaths that can be attributed to long-term exposure to  $PM_{2.5}$  during 2021.



Figure 4: Premature death rate per 100,000 people that can be attributed to long-term exposure to PM<sub>2.5</sub> during 2021.



### RESULTS

We estimate that 12% of all premature deaths that occured in 2021 in the provinces studied can be attributed to exposure to PM<sub>2.5</sub> pollution. In particular, 20% of premature deaths from stroke and other cerebrovascular diseases, 23% of premature deaths from diabetes, 24% of premature deaths from both ischaemic heart disease or lung cancer, 21% of premature deaths from lower respiratory infections and 22% of premature deaths from COPD in the provinces studied are attributable to PM<sub>2.5</sub> air pollution (Table 6).

In 2021 all but five of the 36 provinces studied complied with the WHO Interim Target 2 and Thai national target which both limit annual  $PM_{2.5}$  pollution to 25 µg/m<sup>3</sup>. If all 36 provinces had complied, around 400 of the 29,000  $PM_{2.5}$ -related premature deaths would have been avoided (Table 6, Scenario B). Had each location complied with WHO Interim Target 3, around 9,000 of the 29,000  $PM_{2.5}$ -related premature deaths would have been avoided (Table 6, Scenario C). The Thai national target of 25  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> is 5 times as high as the WHO's Air Quality Guideline for annual mean PM<sub>25</sub> concentration. All of the provinces studied failed to meet the WHO guideline in 2021. If all these provinces were to comply with the WHO guideline, this would reduce the number of premature deaths attributable to PM<sub>2.5</sub> pollution to about 6,000 per year, avoiding 77% of the premature deaths and thus saving 22,000 lives in these provinces each year (Table 6, Scenario D). This is roughly the annual number of road traffic fatalities in all of Thailand (WHO, 2018). As the provinces studied in the report cover only about half the population of Thailand (34 million), someone living in the regions of Thailand included in this study is more than twice as likely to die from exposure to PM<sub>25</sub> pollution as from a road accident (Table 7, Figure 5). In fact, the risk of dying from PM<sub>25</sub> air pollution is currently even substantially higher in Thailand than the risks of dying from a road accident, drug use or intentional homicide combined.

	Scenario A	Scenario B	Scenario C	Scenario D
	Actual	WHO 2021 IT-2	WHO 2021 IT-3	WHO guideline
premature death (all causes)	28,831	28,436	19,849	6,497
premature death (CeVD)	4,896	4,827	3,326	1,065
premature death (diabetes)	2,159	2,128	1,459	463
premature death (IHD)	6,046	5,958	4,074	1,287
premature death (LC)	2,739	2,700	1,845	582
premature death (LRI)	3,204	3,158	2,171	692
premature death (COPD)	2,088	2,058	1,411	448

Table 6: Results of the Health Impact Assessment for 2021: Total number of premature deaths and
breakdown by some of the causes.

		Exposed population (millions)	Value	Rate per 100,000	Source	
Exposure	Scenario A – actual	34.0	28,831	85		
to PM <sub>2.5</sub> pollution	Scenario B – current national standard	34.0	28,436	84	This report	
	Scenario C – WHO IT-3	34.0	19,849	58	This report	
	WHO guideline	34.0	6,497	19		
Road accide	Road accidents		22,491	34	WHO (2018)	
Drug use		66.2	10,674	16	GBD (2019)	
Intentional homicide		66.2	1,800	3	World Bank (2022)	
Sum of road accidents, drug use, homicide				53		

Table 7. Various causes of premature deaths in Thailand.

Annual deaths per 100,000 capita



Figure 5: Comparison of deaths per 100,000 people that can be attributed to long-term exposure to PM<sub>2.5</sub> during 2021 for Scenarios A, B, C and D (red) and other causes (grey).

## GREENPEACE

#### RESULTS

A comparison of Bangkok with the four major city regions of New York, Delhi, Seoul and Kuala Lumpur reveals that the risk of premature deaths resulting from long-term  $PM_{2.5}$  exposure is similar in Seoul and Kuala Lumpur (Table 8). In New York, where  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations in 2021 were approximately 50% of those measured in Bangkok, the risk is also estimated to be approximately 50% lower. In contrast, Delhi, which experiences a much higher  $PM_{2.5}$  burden, has a much higher risk of premature deaths resulting from long-term  $PM_{2.5}$  exposure.

City region	Population	ΡΜ <sub>2.5</sub> (μg/m³)	Increased risk of premature death	Premature deaths due to PM <sub>2.5</sub>
Bangkok	5,527,994	20.0	+13%	4,446
New York City	18,713,220	10.0	+6%	9,217
Delhi	29,617,000	96.4	+79%	88,010
Seoul	21,794,000	19.7	+13%	14,533
Kuala Lumpur	8,285,000	18.6	+12%	4,928



## CONCLUSION

There has never been more evidence that air pollution affects health, and we know that these effects can occur even at low concentrations (WHO, 2021). The findings of this study demonstrate that action is urgently needed to address the problem of PM<sub>2.5</sub> pollution in Thailand to protect the health of the Thai population.

This year, the IPCC found with high confidence that measures that reduce greenhouse gas and air pollutant emissions including demand reduction, and low emission transport modes have the potential to deliver both air quality improvements and health benefits whilst also contributing to the mitigation of climate change (IPCC, 2022).

Efforts to improve air quality have a track record of delivering benefits to our health,

environment and economy (US EPA, 2011). The health burden felt today demands a focus on direct reduction of pollutant emissions at source. Addressing the sources of greenhouse gas emissions can achieve improvements to air quality within years (IPCC, 2021), while sustainable urban design can be effective in protecting populations against climate change and air pollution (IPCC, 2022).

The Thai government should commit to follow the WHO's new Air Quality Guidelines with time-bounded strategies and plans. These plans should include eliminating PM<sub>2.5</sub> sources by phasing-out coal and fossil fuels, transitioning to renewable energy, tackling transboundary maize-haze, applying sustainable urban design and setting the PM<sub>2.5</sub> national standard to meet the WHO guidelines to protect citizen's lives.



## REFERENCES

**Braithwaite et al. (2019)** – Braithwaite, Shuo Zhang, James B. Kirkbride, David P. J. Osborn, and Joseph F. Hayes, *Air Pollution (Particulate Matter) Exposure and Associations with Depression, Anxiety, Bipolar, Psychosis and Suicide Risk: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis*, Environmental Health Perspectives, 2019 127:12 CID: 126002 https://doi.org/10.1289/EHP4595

**Dadvand et al. (2013)** – Dadvand, Parker, et al., *Maternal exposure to particulate air pollution and term birth weight: a multi-country evaluation of effect and heterogeneity*, Environ Health Perspect. March 2013, 121 (3), 267-373. https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1205575

**Fold et al. (2020)** - Fold, N.R., Allison, M.R., Wood, B.C., Thao, P.T., Bonnet, S., Garivait, S., Kamens, R. and Pengjan, S., 2020. *An assessment of annual mortality attributable to ambient PM*<sub>2.5</sub> *in Bangkok, Thailand.* International journal of environmental research and public health, 17(19), p.7298.

**GBD (2019)** – Global Health Metrics, *Global* burden of 87 risk factors in 204 countries and territories, 1990–2019: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019, 396, 10258, 1223-1249, October 17, 2020. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30752-2

**HRAPIE (2013)** – World Health Organization, 2013. *Health risks of air pollution in Europe-HRAPIE project*. http://www.euro.who.int/\_\_data/ assets/pdf\_file/0006/238956/Health\_risks\_air\_ pollution\_HRAPIE\_project.pdf?ua=1 (accessed 2022-04-20)

IPCC (2021) – Summary for Policymakers. In: Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [MassonDelmotte, V., P. Zhai, A. Pirani, S.L. Connors, C. Péan, S. Berger, N. Caud, Y. Chen, L. Goldfarb, M.I. Gomis, M. Huang, K. Leitzell, E. Lonnoy, J.B.R. Matthews, T.K. Maycock, T. Waterfield, O. Yelekçi, R. Yu, and B. Zhou (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press. In Press. IPCC (2022) – Summary for Policymakers. In: Climate Change 2022: Mitigation of Climate Change. Contribution of Working Group III to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [P.R. Shukla, J. Skea, R. Slade, A. Al Khourdajie, R. van Diemen, D. McCollum, M. Pathak, S. Some, P. Vyas, R. Fradera, M. Belkacemi, A. Hasija, G. Lisboa, S. Luz, J. Malley, (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and New York, NY, USA. doi: 10.1017/9781009157926.001

**IQAir (2022)** – 2021 World Air Quality Report, Region and city PM<sub>2.5</sub> ranking. Available at https://www.iqair.com/world-most-polluted-cities (accessed 2022-04-26)

Kanchanasuta et al. (2020) - Kanchanasuta, S., Sooktawee, S., Patpai, A. and Vatanasomboon, P., 2020. *Temporal Variations and Potential Source Areas of Fine Particulate Matter in Bangkok*, *Thailand*. Air, Soil and Water Research, 13, p.1178622120978203.

Kutlar Joss et al. (2017) – Kutlar Joss, M., Eeftens, M., Gintowt, E. et al., *Time to harmonize national ambient air quality standards*. Int. J. Public Health 62, 453–462 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1007/s00038-017-0952-y

Morakinyo et al. (2016) – Morakinyo, O., Mokgobu, M., Mukhola, M. & Hunter, R. *Health outcomes of exposure to biological and chemical components of inhalable and respirable particulate matter*. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 13, 592 (2016). https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph13060592

NSO (2022) – National Statistical Office, Demography Population and Housing Branch. http://statbbi.nso.go.th/staticreport/page/ sector/en/01.aspx (accessed 2022-04-21)

**Pope et al. (2015)** - Pope III CA, Turner MC, Burnett RT, Jerrett M, Gapstur SM, Diver WR, Krewski D, Brook RD. *Relationships between fine particulate air pollution, cardiometabolic disorders, and cardiovascular mortality*. Circulation research 116.1 (2015): 108-115. https://doi.org/10.1161/ CIRCRESAHA.116.305060 **Trasande et al. (2016)** – Trasande L, Malecha P, Attina T M, *Particulate Matter Exposure and Preterm Birth: Estimates of U.S. Attributable Burden and Economic Costs*, Environmental Health Perspectives, 2016, 124:12. https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1510810

**US EPA (2011)** - United States Environmental Protection Agency: Office of Air and Radiation. *The benefits and costs of the Clean Air Act from 1990 to* 2020. Available at: https://www.epa.gov/clean-airact-overview/benfits-and-costs-clean-air-act-1990-2020-report-documents-and-graphics (accessed 2022-04-27)

**Vohra et al. (2021)** – Vohra, K., et al., *Global mortality from outdoor fine particle pollution generated by fossil fuel combustion: Results from GEOS-Chem.* Environ. Res. 195 (2021): 110754.

**WHO (2016)** – The World Health Organization, *Ambient air pollution: a global assessment of exposure and burden of disease*. World Health Organization.

https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/250141

WHO (2018) – The World Health Organization, Global status report on road safety 2018, p. 263. https://www.who.int/publications/ i/item/9789241565684 (accessed 2022-04-26)

WHO, (2021) – The World Health Organization. WHO global air quality guidelines: particulate matter (PM<sub>2.5</sub> and PM10), ozone, nitrogen dioxide, sulfur dioxide and carbon monoxide. 2021. https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/345329. License: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO (accessed 2022-02-08)

World Bank (2022) – The World Bank, World Development Indicators, Intentional homicides (per 100,000 people) https://databank.worldbank.org/ reports.aspx?dsid=2&series=VC.IHR.PSRC.P5 (accessed 2022-04-26) **Zheng et al. (2015)** – Zheng X, Ding H, Jiang L, Chen S, Zheng J, Qiu M et al., *Association between air pollutants and asthma emergency room visits and hospital admissions in time series studies: a systematic review and meta-analysis*, PloSOne10(9):e0138146, PMID: 26382947, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0138146

## GREENPEACE

Greenpeace is an independent global campaigning organisation that acts to change attitudes and behaviour, to protect and conserve the environment and to promote peace.



Greenpeace Southeast Asia www.greenpeace.org/southeastasia

1371 Capital Mansion Building, Ground Floor Phaholyothin Road Samsen-nai Phayathai, Bangkok 10400 Thailand +66 2 357 1921 info.th@greenpeace.org