



### Ranking criteria explained

The ranking criteria reflect the demands of the Toxic Tech campaign to the electronics companies. Our two demands are that companies should:

- clean up their products by eliminating hazardous substances;
- takeback and recycle their products responsibly once they become obsolete.

The two issues are connected. The use of harmful chemicals in electronics prevents their safe recycling when the products are discarded. Companies score marks out of 30, which are then re-calculated to give a mark out of 10 for simplicity.

### Toxic chemicals criteria

Greenpeace wants to see electronics companies clean up their act.

Substituting harmful chemicals in the production of electronics will prevent worker exposure to these substances and contamination of communities that neighbour production facilities. Eliminating harmful substances will also prevent leaching/off-gassing of chemicals like brominated flame retardants (BFR) during use, and enable electronic scrap to be safely recycled. The presence of toxic substances in electronics perpetuates the toxic cycle – during reprocessing of electronic waste and by using contaminated secondary materials to make new products.

Until the use of toxic substances is eliminated, it is impossible to secure 'safe' recycling. For this reason, the points awarded to corporate practice on chemicals (five criteria, double points for PVC – and BFR-free models) are weighted more heavily than criteria on recycling, because until the use of harmful substances is eliminated in products, it is impossible to secure 'safe', toxic-free recycling.

Where two companies score the same number of total points, the company with the higher score on the chemicals criteria will be ranked higher.

#### The electronics scorecard ranks companies on:

##### Chemicals policy and practice (5 criteria)

1. A chemicals policy based on the Precautionary Principle
2. Chemicals Management: supply chain management of chemicals via e.g. banned/restricted substance lists, policy to identify problematic substances for future elimination/substitution
3. Timeline for phasing out all use of vinyl plastic (PVC)
4. Timeline for phasing out all use of brominated flame retardants (not just those banned by EU's RoHS Directive)
5. PVC- and BFR-free models of electronic products on the market.

## Policy and practice on Producer Responsibility for taking back their discarded products and recycling (4 criteria)

1. Support for individual (financial) producer responsibility – that producers finance the end-of-life management of their products, by taking back and reusing/recycling their own-brand discarded products.
2. Provides voluntary takeback and recycling in every country where its products are sold, even in the absence of national laws requiring Producer Responsibility for electronic waste.
3. Provides clear information for individual customers on takeback and recycling services in all countries where there are sales of its products.
4. Reports on amount of waste electrical and electronic equipment (WEEE) collected and recycled.

**Click here to see more detailed information on the ranking**

**Ranking regrading:** Companies have the opportunity to move towards a greener ranking as the guide is updated every quarter. However penalty points are deducted from overall scores if Greenpeace finds a company lying, practising double standards or other corporate misconduct.

**Disclaimer:** Greenpeace's 'Guide to Greener Electronics' aims to clean up the electronics sector and get manufacturers to take responsibility for the full life cycle of their products, including the electronic waste that their products generate. The guide does not rank companies on labour standards, energy use or any other issues, but recognises that these are important in the production and use of electronics products.

**Ranking guide addition:** We first released our 'Guide to Greener Electronics' in August 2006, which ranked the 14 top manufacturers of personal computers and mobile phones according to their policies on toxic chemicals and recycling.

In the sixth issue of the Guide, we have added the leading manufacturers of TVs – namely, Philips and Sharp – and the game console producers Nintendo and Microsoft. The other market leaders for TVs and game consoles are already included in the Guide.

For the latest version [greenpeace.org/greenelectronics](https://www.greenpeace.org/greenelectronics)

A penalty point has been deducted from Nokia and Motorola's overall score for corporate misbehaviour as a result of Greenpeace testing of the companies' takeback practice in the Philippines, Thailand, Russia, Argentina and India.

## MICROSOFT Ranking = 2.7/10

Another newcomer to the ranking Guide, Microsoft comes in at number 16 (out of 18). The company scores points for its chemical management and for setting a timeline by which it intends to eliminate vinyl plastic (PVC) and all BFRs, but only by 2011.

Microsoft scores poorly on most waste criteria, but is reporting on amounts of e-waste recycled, albeit only for Europe.

### MICROSOFT Overall Score

	<b>BAD (0)</b>	<b>PARTIALLY BAD (1+)</b>	<b>PARTIALLY GOOD (2+)</b>	<b>GOOD (3+)</b>
Precautionary Principle				
Chemicals Management				
Timeline for PVC phaseout				
Timeline for BFR phaseout				
PVC-free and/or BFR-free models (companies score double on this criterion)				
Individual producer responsibility				
Voluntary takeback				
Information to individual customers				
Amounts recycled				

## MICROSOFT Detailed Scoring

Chemical Score	BAD	PARTIALLY BAD	PARTIALLY GOOD	GOOD
Precautionary Principle	No reference to the Precautionary Principle. <b>More information.</b>			
Chemicals Management			Microsoft lists it Chemical Specifications but fails to provide information on mechanisms for identifying future substances for elimination and no examples of future substances to be eliminated. <b>Restricted Substances Specifications.</b> <b>Restricted Substance Specification for Hardware Products and Packaging (H00594).</b> <b>Restricted Substance Control System (H00642).</b>	
Timeline for PVC phaseout			Microsoft is committed to eliminating PVC from all of its hardware products by or before 2011. <b>More information here and here.</b>	
Timeline for BFR phaseout			Microsoft is committed to eliminating brominated fire retardants from all of its hardware products by or before 2011. <b>More information here and here.</b>	
PVC-free and/or BFR-free models (companies score double on this criterion)	No products free of PVC and BFRs.			

## MICROSOFT Detailed Scoring

EPR/recycling score	BAD	PARTIALLY BAD	PARTIALLY GOOD	GOOD
Support for Individual Producer Responsibility		Although Microsoft supports producer-funded recycling programmes, it does not explicitly support that these allocate costs to individual producers, so as to drive product design change. <b>More information here and here.</b>		
Provides voluntary takeback where no EPR laws exist	No voluntary takeback programmes, although Microsoft announced that it is extending its refurbishment MAR program to include OEM and refurbisher partners that sell refurbished PCs to the open market – thus extending the lifespan of otherwise obsolete PCs. <b>More information.</b>			
Provides info for individual customers on takeback in all countries where products are sold	Links are given for all EU countries (as part of WEEE Directive), but no information is available for customers anywhere else in the world. <b>More information.</b>			
Reports on amount of waste electrical and electronic equipment (WEEE) collected and recycled		In 2006, Microsoft funded the direct recovery and recycling of over 1.3 million kgs of consumer electrical and electronic goods from European households, which represents some 37% of their year 2000 hardware sales volume in Europe. This metric available only for Europe. However, in Europe Microsoft pays for recycling historical waste by current market share and not for the actual amount recycled. So, there is no relationship between what Microsoft pays for recycling and the amount actually recycled. <b>More information.</b>		