

## **Vice President Biden on Chemical Security 2006 to Present**

### **1) The White House web site:**

[http://www.whitehouse.gov/issues/homeland\\_security/](http://www.whitehouse.gov/issues/homeland_security/)

**Accessed July 14, 2009**

“We will invest in our Nation's most pressing short and long-term infrastructure needs, including modernizing our electrical grid; upgrading our highway, rail, maritime, and aviation infrastructure; enhancing security within our chemical and nuclear sectors; and safeguarding the public transportation systems that Americans use every day.”

### **2) Statement Before the Senate Committee on the Environment and Public Works - Hearing on Inherently Safer Technology in the Context of Chemical Site Security**

**June 21, 2006**

“If we simply required facilities that store or utilize large amounts of chlorine and other dangerous chemicals to transition to inherently safer technologies whenever it’s feasible, we could completely and permanently eliminate known threats in our communities. This would allow us to focus our scarce resources on border security and other critical infrastructure targets as well as allow our Federal, state, and local law enforcement and first responders to focus on other vulnerabilities.”

“I believe that requiring chemical facilities to transition to safer technologies whenever it is practical should be a priority that we establish. Doing this would completely and permanently eliminate the threat to millions of Americans.”

### **3) Letter to Secretary Michael Chertoff, Dept. of Homeland Security February 16, 2007**

“While section 550 does not authorize the Department to require implementation of safer technologies, the Department of Homeland Security should, at the bare minimum, encourage safer technologies that could reduce the risks to our citizens. If safer technologies can permanently eliminate the risk posed by a chemical plant, this step should be strongly considered. It will make America safer and allow the Department to utilize its scarce resources towards other vulnerabilities. In my view, eliminating risk, whenever possible, should be the highest priority for the Department, and your failure to take any meaningful step with your proposed regulation is short-sighted.”

### **4) Senate floor statement introducing S. 2855 May 19, 2006**

“I rise today to introduce the Community Water Treatment Hazards Reduction Act of 2006. This legislation would completely eliminate a known security risk to millions of Americans across the United States by facilitating the transfer to safer technologies from deadly toxic chemicals at our nation’s water treatment facilities... The bottom line is that if we can eliminate a known-risk, we should. The legislation I am introducing today will do just that.”

**5) Press Release regarding S. 2855  
May 19, 2006**

“This simple measure would have the immediate benefit of completely eliminating a known threat to nearly 50 million Americans, and it would also eliminate the single biggest reason for chlorine rail shipments through our communities.”

“These huge amounts of chlorine, stored in city centers and shipped across the country on our rails, represent a ticking time bomb. The 9-11 Commission recently found that the U.S. Government has failed to set priorities and has completely neglected our nation’s critical infrastructure. Now is the time to address the threat to our nation’s water facilities, before even one American falls victim to our failure to act.”